

[1] Consider the isomorphism $Rep_\beta: \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, where β is the basis $\beta = \langle \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \vec{w}_3 \rangle = \langle 1, 1+x, 1+x+x^2 \rangle$ for \mathcal{P}_2 . Every isomorphism has an inverse. Find $Rep_\beta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ -5 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$.

Solution: First note that the inverse map $Rep_\beta^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2$ works as the reverse of the map Rep_β as follows:

$$Rep_\beta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{pmatrix} = c_1 \cdot \vec{w}_1 + c_2 \cdot \vec{w}_2 + c_3 \cdot \vec{w}_3. \text{ So } Rep_\beta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ -5 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = 7\vec{w}_1 + (-1)\vec{w}_2 + 3\vec{w}_3 = 7(1) - 5(1+x) + 3(1+x+x^2) = 5 - 2x + 3x^2.$$

[2] Decide whether each map f is linear. (That is, decide if it is a homomorphism.) If it is linear, then prove it. If it is not an linear, then state a condition that it fails to satisfy. Bonus: Determine if each is an isomorphism.

(a) $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by $f \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{pmatrix}$. (This is an example of a “projection map”.)

Solution:

Let $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. We must show that $f(r_1\vec{v}_1 + r_2\vec{v}_2) = r_1f(\vec{v}_1) + r_2f(\vec{v}_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(r_1\vec{v}_1 + r_2\vec{v}_2) &= f \left(r_1 \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) && \text{definition of } \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2. \\ &= f \left(\begin{pmatrix} r_1 a_1 \\ r_1 b_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} r_2 a_2 \\ r_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) && \text{definition of scalar multiplication} \\ &= f \begin{pmatrix} r_1 a_1 + r_2 a_2 \\ r_1 b_1 + r_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix} && \text{definition of vector addition} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ r_1 b_1 + r_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix} && \text{definition of how } f \text{ works.} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ r_1 b_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ r_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix} && \text{definition of vector addition} \\ &= r_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} && \text{definition of scalar multiplication} \\ &= r_1 f \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 f \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} && \text{definition of how } f \text{ works.} \\ &= r_1 f(\vec{v}_1) + r_2 f(\vec{v}_2) && \text{definition of } \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Conclude that f is linear.

Notice that f is not an isomorphism because:

It is not one-to-one: $f \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = f \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

It is not onto: Given the desired output $\vec{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, there is no input $\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ such that $f(\vec{v}) = \vec{y}$.

(b) $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2$ defined by $f \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = (a+b) + bx^2$.

Solution:

Let $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. We must show that $f(r_1\vec{v}_1 + r_2\vec{v}_2) = r_1f(\vec{v}_1) + r_2f(\vec{v}_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(r_1\vec{v}_1 + r_2\vec{v}_2) &= f \left(r_1 \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) && \text{definition of } \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2. \\ &= f \left(\begin{pmatrix} r_1 a_1 \\ r_1 b_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} r_2 a_2 \\ r_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) && \text{definition of scalar multiplication} \\ &= f \begin{pmatrix} r_1 a_1 + r_2 a_2 \\ r_1 b_1 + r_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix} && \text{definition of vector addition} \\ &= (r_1 a_1 + r_2 a_2 + r_1 b_1 + r_2 b_2) + (r_1 b_1 + r_2 b_2)x^2 && \text{definition of how } f \text{ works.} \\ &= r_1((a_1 + b_1) + b_1 x^2) + r_2((a_2 + b_2) + b_2 x^2) && \text{arithmetic} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= r_1 f \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 f \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} && \text{definition of how } f \text{ works.} \\
 &= r_1 f(\vec{v}_1) + r_1 f(\vec{v}_2) && \text{definition of } \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Conclude that f is linear.

Notice that f is one-to-one: Suppose that $f(\vec{v}_1) = f(\vec{v}_2)$ for some input vectors $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $f \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix} = f \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$, so $(a_1 + b_1) + b_1 x^2 = (a_2 + b_2) + b_2 x^2$. Equating coefficients of x^2 tells us that $b_1 = b_2$. Then equating constant terms tells us that $(a_1 + b_1) = (a_2 + b_2)$. Substituting in $b_1 = b_2$, tells us that $a_1 = a_2$. Therefore, $\vec{v}_1 = \vec{v}_2$.

But f is not onto: Given the desired output $\vec{y} = x$, there is no input $\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ such that $f(\vec{v}) = \vec{y}$.

Conclude that f is not an isomorphism.

(c) $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_1$ defined by $f \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = ax + b$.

Solution:

Let $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. We must show that $f(r_1 \vec{v}_1 + r_2 \vec{v}_2) = r_1 f(\vec{v}_1) + r_2 f(\vec{v}_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(r_1 \vec{v}_1 + r_2 \vec{v}_2) &= f \left(r_1 \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) && \text{definition of } \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2. \\
 &= f \begin{pmatrix} r_1 a_1 + r_2 a_2 \\ r_1 b_1 + r_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix} && \text{definition of scalar multiplication \& vector addition} \\
 &= (r_1 a_1 + r_2 a_2)x + (r_1 b_1 + r_2 b_2) && \text{definition of how } f \text{ works.} \\
 &= r_1(a_1 x + b_1) + r_2(a_2 x + b_2) && \text{arithmetic} \\
 &= r_1 f \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 f \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} && \text{definition of how } f \text{ works.} \\
 &= r_1 f(\vec{v}_1) + r_2 f(\vec{v}_2) && \text{definition of } \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Conclude that f is linear.

Notice that f is one-to-one: Suppose that $f(\vec{v}_1) = f(\vec{v}_2)$ for some input vectors $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $f \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix} = f \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$, so $a_1 x + b_1 = a_2 x + b_2$. Equating coefficients of powers of x tells us that $a_1 = a_2$ and $b_1 = b_2$. Therefore, $\vec{v}_1 = \vec{v}_2$. **And f is onto:** Given any desired output $\vec{y} = ax + b$, the input $\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ will give that desired output. That is, $f(\vec{v}) = \vec{y}$.

Conclude that f is isomorphism.

[3] Stating that a function is linear is different from stating that its graph is a line. The function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = 5x + 7$ has a graph that is a line. Show that it is not a linear function

Solution:

Show that f does not preserve vector addition. Let $\vec{v}_1 = 1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\vec{v}_2 = 1 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then observe that $f(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2) = f(1 + 1) = f(2) = 5(2) + 7 = 17$

Then observe that $f(\vec{v}_1) + f(\vec{v}_2) = f(1) + f(1) = (5(1) + 7) + (5(1) + 7) = 12 + 12 = 24$.

So $f(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2) \neq f(\vec{v}_1) + f(\vec{v}_2)$.

Show that f also does not preserve scalar multiplication. Let $\vec{v} = 1$ and $c = 2$.

Then observe that $f(c\vec{v}) = f(2(1)) = f(2) = 5(2) + 7 = 17$

Then observe that $cf(\vec{v}) = 2f(1) = 2 \cdot (5(1) + 7) = 2 \cdot (12) = 24$.

So $f(c\vec{v}) \neq cf(\vec{v})$.

[4] (a) The map the “evaluation at 5 map”. That is the map $eval_5: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $eval_5(f) = f(5)$.

Solution:

Let $\vec{v}_1 = f$ and $\vec{v}_2 = g$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. We must show that $eval_5(af + bg) = a \cdot eval_5(f) + b \cdot eval_5(g)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 eval_5(af + bg) &= (af + bg)(5) && \text{definition of how } eval_5 \text{ works} \\
 &= (af)(5) + (bg)(5) && \text{definition of function addition} \\
 &= a \cdot f(5) + b \cdot g(5) && \text{definition of scalar multiplication of functions} \\
 &= a \cdot eval_5(f) + b \cdot eval_5(g) && \text{definition of how } eval_5 \text{ works}
 \end{aligned}$$

Conclude that f is linear.

Notice that $eval_5$ is not one-to-one:

Let $f(x) = x^2$ and let $g(x) = x + 20$. Then $f \neq g$, but $eval_5(f) = f(5) = (5)^2 = 25$ and $eval_5(g) = g(5) = 20 + (5) = 25$. So $eval_5(f) = eval_5(g)$ even though $f \neq g$.

Notice that $eval_5$ is onto:

Given the desired output $\vec{y} = r \in \mathbb{R}$, let f be the constant function $f(x) = r$. Then $eval_5 f = f(5) = r$.

Conclude that since $eval_5$ is not one-to-one, it is not an isomorphism.

(b) The map ‘‘Definite Integral from 0 to 1’’. That is, the map $I: C^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $I(f) = \int_{t=0}^{t=1} f(t)dt$.

Solution: Show that the map I preserves all linear combinations.

Suppose $\vec{v}_1 = f \in C^0$ and $\vec{v}_2 = g \in C^0$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(a \cdot \vec{v}_1 + b \cdot \vec{v}_2) &= I(a \cdot f + b \cdot g) && \text{The vectors are the functions } f, g. \\
 &= \int_{t=0}^{t=1} (af + bg)(t)dt && \text{by the definition of } I \\
 &= \int_{t=0}^{t=1} ((af)(t) + (bg)(t))dt && \text{by the definition of function addition} \\
 &= \int_{t=0}^{t=1} (af(t) + bg(t))dt && \text{by the definition of scalar multiplication} \\
 &= a \cdot \left(\int_{t=0}^{t=1} f(t)dt \right) + b \cdot \left(\int_{t=0}^{t=1} g(t)dt \right) && \text{by the Sum Rule and Constant} \\
 & && \text{Multiple Rule for Integrals} \\
 & && \text{From Calculus} \\
 &= a \cdot I(f) + b \cdot I(g) && \text{by the definition of } I \\
 &= aI(\vec{v}_1) + bI(\vec{v}_2) && \text{The vectors are the functions } f, g.
 \end{aligned}$$

Conclude that I is linear. That is, I is a homomorphism.

Observe that I is not one-to-one

Let $\vec{v}_1 = f$ be the function $f(x) = 1$ and Let $\vec{v}_2 = g$ be the function $g(x) = 2x$. Then $\vec{v}_1 \neq \vec{v}_2$.

But $I(\vec{v}_1) = I(f) = \int_{t=0}^{t=1} 1dt = 1$ while $I(\vec{v}_2) = I(g) = \int_{t=0}^{t=1} 2tdt = 1$, so $I(\vec{v}_1) = I(\vec{v}_2)$.

Observe that I is onto

Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ be any desired output.

Define the input vector $\vec{v} = f$ to be the constant function $f(x) = y$.

Then $I(\vec{v}) = I(f) = \int_{t=0}^{t=1} ydt = y \cdot \int_{t=0}^{t=1} dt = y \cdot 1 = y$.

We have found an input that gives the desired output.

Since I is not one-to-one, it is not an isomorphism.

[5] (a) The map ‘‘Definite Integral from 0 to x ’’. That is, the map $I: C^0 \rightarrow C^1$ defined by $I(f) = \int_{t=0}^{t=x} f(t)dt$.

Solution:

The proof that the map I preserves all linear combinations is just like the proof in [4](b). The fact that the upper limit of integration is $t = x$ rather than $t = 1$ does not change any of the steps or any of the justifications.

Conclude that I is linear. That is, I is a homomorphism.

Observe that I is one-to-one

Suppose that $\vec{v}_1 = f$ and $\vec{v}_2 = g$ are two functions such that $I(f) = I(g)$.

As discussed in class, $I(f) = \int_{t=0}^{t=x} f(t)dt$ will be a function that is an antiderivative of $f(x)$, and $I(g)$ will be a function that is an antiderivative of $g(x)$.

So, if we take $\frac{d}{dx}$ of both sides of the equation $I(f) = I(g)$, we will obtain the new equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} I(f) &= \frac{d}{dx} I(g) \\ f(x) &= g(x) \end{aligned}$$

Conclude that $f = g$, so $\vec{v}_1 = \vec{v}_2$.

Observe that I is not onto

As discussed in class, $I(f) = \int_{t=0}^{t=x} f(t)dt$ will be a function of the variable x .

If we substitute $x = 0$ into this function, we obtain

$$(I(f))(0) = \int_{t=0}^{t=0} f(t)dt = 0$$

because the lower and upper limits of integration are the same.

So $I(f) = \int_{t=0}^{t=x} f(t)dt$ will not only be a function that is an antiderivative of $f(x)$, it will be the particular antiderivative that has value 0 at $x = 0$. (In calculus, you sometimes denoted an antiderivative of f by F . So $I(f)$ is the particular antiderivative $F(x)$ that has the property that $F(0) = 0$.)

So consider the desired output vector \vec{y} that is the function $\cos(x)$. observe that this desired output function has the property that $\cos(0) = 1$, not 0. Therere, we know that there is no input vector \vec{v} , that is no input function f , such that $I(\vec{v}) = \vec{y}$.

Since I is not onto, it is not an isomorphism.

(b) The ‘‘Derivative’’ map That is, the map $D: C^1 \rightarrow C^0$ defined by $D(f) = \frac{df}{dx}$.

Solution:

Show that D preserves linear combinations. Suppose $\vec{v}_1 = f \in C^1$ and $\vec{v}_2 = g \in C^1$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(a\vec{v}_1 + b\vec{v}_2) &= D(af + bg) && \text{The vectors are the functions } f, g. \\ &= \frac{d}{dx} ((af + bg)(x)) && \text{by the definition of } D \\ &= \frac{d}{dx} ((af)(x) + (bg)(x)) && \text{definition of function addition} \\ &= \frac{d}{dx} (a \cdot f(x) + b \cdot g(x)) && \text{definition of scalar multiplication} \\ &= a \frac{df}{dx} + b \frac{dg}{dx} && \text{by the Sum Rule \& Constant multiple rules for Derivatives} \\ &= aD(f) + bD(g) && \text{by the definition of } D \\ &= aD(\vec{v}_1) + bD(\vec{v}_2) && \text{The vectors are the functions } f, g. \end{aligned}$$

Conclude that D is linear. That is, D is a homomorphism.

Notice that D is not one-to-one: Let $f(x) = x^2$ and let $g(x) = x^2 + 20$. Then $f \neq g$, but $D(f) = 2x$ and $D(g) = 2x$. So $D(f) = D(g)$ even though $f \neq g$.

Notice that D is onto:

Given the desired output $\vec{y} = f \in C^0$ let F be an antiderivative for f . (We know that such an antiderivative exists because f is continuous. In fact, we know that one way to get an antiderivative F is by defining $F = I(f)$, where I is The map ‘‘Definite Integral from 0 to x ’’. That is, the map $I: C^0 \rightarrow C^1$ defined by $I(f) = \int_{t=0}^{t=x} f(t)dt$ from part (a) of this problem

Conclude that since D is not one-to-one, it is not an isomorphism.