

# Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



## LINEAR ALGEBRA AND ITS APPLICATIONS

Linear Algebra and its Applications 379 (2004) 381-394

www.elsevier.com/locate/laa

# Nonnegative matrices A with $AA^{\sharp} \geqslant 0$

S.K. Jain <sup>a,\*</sup>, John Tynan <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA
 <sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Marietta College, Marietta, OH 45750, USA
 Received 5 August 2002; accepted 30 July 2003

Submitted by T.Y. Tam

#### **Abstract**

In this paper we obtain a decomposition of nonnegative matrices A such that  $AA^{\sharp} \geqslant 0$ . We then use this characterization to obtain the previous results known for nonnegative matrices A with  $A^{\sharp} \geqslant 0$ . We also consider nonnegative matrices A with  $A - A^2 \geqslant 0$ . © 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Nonnegative matrices; Group inverse; Monotone matrices; Idempotent matrices

## 1. Introduction

Nonnegative matrices with nonnegative group inverse have been studied by many authors (see for example [3.4.6.8.9]). In another paper [10], we considered nonnegative matrices A with  $AA^{\dagger}$  or  $A^{\dagger}A$  nonnegative. In this paper, we prove analogous results characterizing nonnegative matrices A with  $AA^{\sharp}$  nonnegative. We give an example that shows that this class of nonnegative matrices A with  $AA^{\sharp}$  nonnegative is properly contained in the class of nonnegative A with  $A^{\sharp}$  nonnegative.

A matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  is called nonnegative if  $a_{ij} \ge 0$  for all i, j and this is expressed as  $A \ge 0$ . A matrix A is called reducible if it is cogredient to  $E = \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , where B and D are square matrices, or A = 0. Otherwise, A is called irreducible. We denote the spectral radius of a matrix A by  $\rho(A)$ .

If there exists a matrix X such that AXA = A, XAX = X, and AX = XA, then this is referred to as the group inverse of A. If the group inverse exists, it is unique

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: jain@math.ohiou.edu (S.K. Jain), tynanj@marietta.edu (J. Tynan).

and denoted by  $A^{\sharp}$ . It is well known that the group inverse exists if and only if index A=1. We refer to a matrix X such that AXA=A and AX=XA as a  $\{1,5\}$ -inverse of A and denote it by  $A^{\{1,5\}}$ . A matrix is called group-monotone if  $A^{\sharp}$  exists and is nonnegative. In this paper, we consider a weaker condition and only require that A and  $AA^{\sharp}$  be nonnegative.

In Section 2 we prove the main result and obtain as a special case the well-known characterization of nonnegative matrices A with  $A^2$  nonnegative. In the last section we consider nonnegative matrices A with  $A - A^2 \ge 0$ .

The reader is referred to [1] for additional definitions and results on generalized inverses.

# 2. Main result

**Theorem 1.** Let A be a nonnegative  $n \times n$  matrix of rank r. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) There exists an  $A^{(1.5)}$  such that  $AA^{(1.5)} \ge 0$ .
- (ii) There exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$PAP^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} XTY & XTYB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CXTY & CXTYB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

where the diagonal blocks are square, T is a nonnegative  $r \times r$  invertible matrix

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^{\mathsf{T}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & y_2^{\mathsf{T}} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix},$$

 $x_i$  and  $y_i$  are positive unit vectors such that  $y_i^T x_i = 1$ , and B, C are nonnegative matrices of impropriate size.

(iii)  $AA^{z} > 0$ .

In particular, under any of the above equivalent conditions,

$$PA^{z}P^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} XT^{-1}Y & XT^{-1}YB & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ CXT^{-1}Y & CXT^{-1}YB & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): So, there exists an  $A^{(1.5)}$  such that  $AA^{(1.5)} \geqslant 0$ . Then,  $AA^{(1.5)}$  is a nonnegative idempotent. So by Flor [5], there exists a permutation matrix P such that

S.K. Jain, J. Tynan / Linear Algebra and its Applications 379 (2004) 381-394

$$PAA^{(1,5)}P^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} J & JB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } J = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1}y_{1}^{T} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_{F}y_{F}^{T} \end{bmatrix}.$$

each  $x_i$ ,  $y_i$  are positive vectors with  $y_i^T x_i = 1$ , matrices  $B, C \ge 0$  and the zero's in the matrices are zero blocks of appropriate size. Note that rank  $AA^{(1,5)} = \operatorname{rank} J = r$ . Next, we partition  $PAP^T$  in conformity with  $PAA^{(1,5)}P^T$  and let

$$PAP^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\ A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly,  $PAP^{T}PAA^{(1.5)}P^{T} = PAP^{T}$  and so

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\ A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} J & JB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\ A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11}J + A_{13}CJ & A_{11}JB + A_{13}CJB & 0 & 0 \\ A_{21}J + A_{23}CJ & A_{21}JB + A_{23}CJB & 0 & 0 \\ A_{31}J + A_{33}CJ & A_{31}JB + A_{33}CJB & 0 & 0 \\ A_{41}J + A_{43}CJ & A_{41}JB + A_{43}CJB & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\ A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By equating corresponding blocks we obtain  $A_{i3} = 0$  and  $A_{i4} = 0$  for i = 1, 2, 3, 4 hence,  $A_{i1} = A_{i1}J$ , and  $A_{i1}JB = A_{i2}$  for i = 1, 2, 3, 4. Hence,

$$PAP^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{41} & A_{42} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $PAA^{(1.5)}P^{T}PAP^{T} = PAP^{T}$  we get the following:

$$\begin{bmatrix} J & JB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{41} & A_{42} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{41} & A_{42} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} JA_{11} + JBA_{21} & JA_{12} + JBA_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJA_{11} + CJBA_{21} & CJA_{12} + CBA_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{41} & A_{42} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This yields that  $A_{2i} = 0$ ,  $A_{4i} = 0$  for i = 1, 2. Hence,  $JA_{11} = A_{11}$  and  $A_{3i} = CJA_{11}$ .

Therefore.

384

$$PAP^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{11}B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CA_{11} & CA_{11}B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

where  $JA_{11} = A_{11} = A_{11}J$ . Also, we have that rank  $A = \operatorname{rank} A_{11} = r$ .

We now consider  $JA_{11} = A_{11}$ . As above, we partition  $A_{11}$  in conformity with J and let  $A_{11} = (A'_{ij})$ . Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 y_1^{\mathsf{T}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r y_r^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A'_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & A'_{1r} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ A'_{r1} & \cdots & \cdots & A'_{rr} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A'_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & A'_{1r} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ A'_{r1} & \cdots & \cdots & A'_{rr} \end{bmatrix}.$$

So.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 y_1^\mathsf{T} A_{11}' & \cdots & x_1 y_1^\mathsf{T} A_{1r}' \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_r y_r^\mathsf{T} A_{r1}' & \cdots & x_r y_r^\mathsf{T} A_{rr}' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}' & \cdots & A_{1r}' \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{r1}' & \cdots & A_{rr}' \end{bmatrix}.$$

Equating corresponding blocks, we have  $x_i y_i^T A'_{ij} = A'_{ij}$ . Because  $A_{11} = (A'_{ij})$  and rank  $A_{11} = r$ , we must have for each i, at least one j such that  $A'_{ij}$  is nonzero. To see this, consider the submatrix  $[x_1 y_1^T A'_{11} \cdots x_1 y_1^T A'_{1r}]$ . Clearly, we have rank  $[x_1 y_1^T A'_{11} \cdots x_1 y_1^T A'_{1r}] \le 1$ . If rank  $[x_1 y_1^T A'_{11} \cdots x_1 y_1^T A'_{1r}] = 0$ , then rank  $A_{11} < r$ , a contradiction. Thus, rank  $[x_1 y_1^T A'_{11} \cdots x_1 y_1^T A'_{1r}] = 1$ , and this implies that not all of the  $A'_{1j}$  are zero. Therefore, as claimed, for each i, there exists at least one j such that  $A'_{ij} \ne 0$ . For convenience, we choose  $A'_{11} \ne 0$ . Then, rank  $A'_{11} = 1$ . Thus,  $A'_{11} = u_{11}v_{11}^T$  where  $u_{11}$  and  $v_{11}$  are vectors such that either  $u_{11} \ge 0$  and  $v_{11} \ge 0$ , or  $u_{11} \le 0$  and  $v_{11} \le 0$ . We may assume that  $v_{11}$  is a unit vector. Then we have  $x_1 y_1^T u_{11} v_{11}^T = u_{11} v_{11}^T$ . So, we multiply by  $v_{11}$  on the right and get  $x_1 y_1^T u_{11} = u_{11}$ . But then  $\lambda_{11} = y_1^T u_{11}$  is a scalar and so  $u_{11}$  is a multiple of  $x_1$ . It follows then that if  $u_{11} \ge 0$ , then it is in fact a positive vector. If  $u_{11} \le 0$ , then  $\lambda_{11} < 0$ . So let  $u_{11} = \lambda_{11} x_1 = \lambda_{11} x_1 v_{11}^T = x_1 v_{11}^T$  where  $v_{11}^T = \lambda_{11} v_{11}^T$ . Thus, we have that  $v_{11}^T \ge 0$ , because if  $v_{11} \le 0$ , then  $\lambda_{11} < 0$  and if  $v_{11} \ge 0$ , then  $\lambda_{11} > 0$ . This process can indeed be repeated for each  $A'_{ij}$ . So we have

385

$$A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 v_{11}^{'T} & \cdots & \cdots & x_1 v_{1r}^{'T} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ x_1 v_{r1}^{'T} & \cdots & \cdots & x_1 v_{rr}^{'T} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We next consider the equation 
$$A_{11}J = A_{11}$$
. This gives us
$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1v_{11}^T & \cdots & x_1v_{1r}^T \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1v_{r1}^T & \cdots & x_1v_{rr}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_ry_r^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1v_{11}^T & \cdots & x_1v_{1r}^T \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1v_{r1}^T & \cdots & x_1v_{rr}^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 v_{11}^{\prime T} x_1 y_1^T & \cdots & x_1 v_{1r}^{\prime T} x_r y_r^T \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ x_1 v_{r1}^{\prime T} x_1 y_1^T & \cdots & x_1 v_{rr}^{\prime T} x_r y_r^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 v_{11}^{\prime T} & \cdots & x_1 v_{1r}^{\prime T} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ x_1 v_{r1}^{\prime T} & \cdots & x_1 v_{rr}^{\prime T} \end{bmatrix}.$$

So  $x_1v_{11}^{\prime T}x_1y_1^T=x_1v_{11}^{\prime T}$ . Multiplying by  $y_1^T$  on the left gives us  $v_{11}^{\prime T}x_1y_1^T=v_{11}^{\prime T}$ . Since  $\alpha_{11}=v_{11}^{\prime T}x_1$  is a scalar we have  $\alpha_{11}y_1^T=v_{11}^{\prime T}$ . Finally we now have that

$$A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11}x_1y_1^T & \alpha_{12}x_1y_2^T & \cdots & \alpha_{1r}x_1y_r^T \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_{r1}x_ry_1^T & \alpha_{r2}x_ry_2^T & \cdots & \alpha_{rr}x_ry_r^T \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\alpha_{ij}$  are nonnegative constants. We then have

$$A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \cdots & \alpha_{1r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_{r1} & \alpha_{r2} & \cdots & \alpha_{rr} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^T \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= XTY.$$

say. Thus, because rank  $A_{11} = r$ , we must have that rank  $T \ge r$ . But, T is an  $r \times r$ matrix, and so rank T = r. Therefore T is invertible.

So, we have shown

$$PAP^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} XTY & XTYB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CXTY & CXTYB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

proving (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): So, there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$PAP^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} XTY & XTYB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CXTY & CXTYB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

where the diagonal blocks are square, T is a nonnegative invertible matrix,

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^{\mathsf{T}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & y_2^{\mathsf{T}} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

 $x_i$  and  $y_i$  are positive unit vectors such that  $y_i^T x_i = 1$ , and B, C are nonnegative matrices of appropriate size.

We may write

$$PAP^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \\ CX \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} TY & TYB & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = FG,$$

say. This gives a full-rank factorization of  $PAP^{T}$  because if  $r = \operatorname{rank} PAP^{T} = \operatorname{rank} X = \operatorname{rank} F$  and  $r = \operatorname{rank} PAP^{T} = \operatorname{rank} Y = \operatorname{rank} F$ . Now, we know that if GF is invertible, then  $A^{T}$  exists. So, consider the following:

$$GF = \begin{bmatrix} TY & TYB & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \\ CX \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = TYX = T$$

(because  $YX = I_r$  the  $r \times r$  identity matrix). By our hypothesis, T is invertible. Thus, GF is invertible.

Therefore nave

$$PA^{z}P^{T} = F(GF)^{-2}G$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \\ CX \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} TY & TYB & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \\ CX \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} TY & TYB & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \\ CX \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (T)^{-2} [TY & TYB & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

S.K. Jain. J. Tynan / Linear Algebra and its Applications 379 (2004) 381–394

387

$$= \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \\ CX \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1}Y & T^{-1}YB & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} XT^{-1}Y & XT^{-1}YB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CXT^{-1}Y & CXT^{-1}YB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This in turn yields that

$$\begin{split} PAA^{\sharp}P^{\mathsf{T}} &= PAP^{\mathsf{T}}PA^{\sharp}P^{\mathsf{T}} = FGF\left(GF\right)^{-2}G = F\left(GF\right)^{-1}G \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \\ CX \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} TY & TYB & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \\ CX \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} TY & TYB & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \\ CX \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \left( T\right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} TY & TYB & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 0 \\ CX \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y & YB & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \geqslant 0. \end{split}$$

So, we have shown that  $PAA^{\sharp}P^{\mathsf{T}} \geqslant 0$ , and since P is a permutation matrix, we obtain  $AA^{\sharp} \geqslant 0$ . This proves (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii).

$$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$$
: Obvious.  $\square$ 

The following example illustrates that the class of nonnegative matrices A with  $AA^{\sharp}$  nonnegative is properly contained in the class of nonnegative A with  $A^{\sharp}$  nonnegative.

Example 2. Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. We can easily verify that  $A^{\sharp} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \not\geqslant 0$ .

But clearly,  $AA^{\sharp} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \geqslant 0$ .

We next obtain the following corollary, analogous to the result that if there exists a nonnegative  $A^{(1.5)}$  then  $A^{\sharp} = A^{(1.5)}$ .

**Corollary 3.** If A is a nonnegative matrix such that  $AA^{(1,5)} \ge 0$ , then  $AA^{\sharp} = AA^{(1,5)}$ .

388 S. K. Jain, J. Tynan / Linear Algebra and its Applications 379 (2004) 381–394

**Proof.** By Theorem 1, there exists a permutation matrix such that

$$PAP^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} XTY & XTYB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CXTY & CXTYB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

as described in the statement, and

$$PAA^{(1.5)}P^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} J & JB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

as shown in the proof.

Also, by Theorem 1

$$PA^{\Xi}P^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} XT^{-1}Y & XT^{-1}YB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CXT^{-1}Y & CXT^{-1}YB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So, we calculate

$$\begin{split} PAP^{\mathsf{T}}PA^{\mathsf{S}}P^{\mathsf{T}} & = \begin{bmatrix} XTY & XTYB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CXTY & CXTYB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} XT^{-1}Y & XT^{-1}YB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CXT^{-1}Y & CXT^{-1}YB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} XTYXT^{-1}Y & XTYXT^{-1}YB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CXTYXT^{-1}Y & CXTYXT^{-1}YB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} XY & XYB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CXY & CXYB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} J & JB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we have shown that  $PAA^{(1.5)}P^{T} = PAA^{\sharp}P^{T}$ , and because P is a permutation matrix, we have that  $AA^{\sharp} = AA^{(1.5)}$ , proving the theorem.  $\square$ 

Now, if in addition we assume that  $A^{\sharp}$  is nonnegative, then we obtain the previous characterization of nonnegative matrices A with  $A^{\sharp} \geqslant 0$  [7, Corollary 4.3, p. 111].

**Corollary 4.** If A is a nonnegative matrix of rank r such that  $A^{\sharp} \geqslant 0$ , then there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$PAP^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} J & JB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

where B.C are nonnegative matrices of appropriate size, the diagonal blocks are square matrices and J is a direct sum of the following types (not necessarily both):

(I)  $\beta x y^{\mathrm{T}}$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , x, y are positive unit vectors of the same size and  $y^{\mathrm{T}}x = 1$ .

(II) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta_{12}x_1x_2^{\mathsf{T}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{23}x_2x_3^{\mathsf{T}} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \beta_{d-1}x_{d-1}x_d^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \beta_{d}(x_dx_d^{\mathsf{T}} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $\beta_{ij} > 0$ :  $x_i$ ,  $y_i$  are positive unit vectors,  $x_i$ ,  $y_i$  are of the same size with  $y_i^T x_i = 1$ ,  $x_i$ ,  $y_j$ ,  $i \neq j$  are not necessarily the same size.

**Proof.** Because we have that A and  $A^{\sharp}$  are nonnegative,  $AA^{\sharp}$  is nonnegative, and so by Theorem 1, we have a permutation matrix P such that

$$PAP^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} XTY & XTYB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CXTY & CXTYB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the diagonal blocks are square, T is a nonnegative invertible matrix,

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^{\mathsf{T}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & y_2^{\mathsf{T}} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix},$$

 $x_i$  and  $y_i$  are positive unit vectors such that  $y_i^T x_i = 1$ , and B, C are nonnegative matrices of appropriate size.

So, what we need to do is show that XTY = J as described in our hypothesis.

Now,  $A^{\sharp}$  nonnegative implies that  $XT^{-1}Y \geqslant 0$ . But then, we have  $YXT^{-1}YX \geqslant$ 

0. This implies that  $T^{-1}$  is nonnegative because YX = I.

Now  $T^{-1}$  nonnegative trivially implies that T has one and only one nonzero entry in each row and each column, which is the "same" as a permutation matrix with the

exception that the nonzero entries need not be one. Then, since every permutation in  $S_n$ , the symmetric group of n elements, can be expressed as a product of disjoint cycles, it follows that there exists a permutation matrix P such that  $PTP^{-1}$  is a direct sum of Type (I) and Type (II) matrices. Furthermore, because

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^{\mathsf{T}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & y_2^{\mathsf{T}} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix},$$

it follows that there exists a permutation matrix Q such that  $QXYQ^{-1}$  is a direct sum of Type (I) and Type (II) matrices, proving our result.  $\Box$ 

# 3. Nonnegative matrices A with $A - A^2 \ge 0$

390

Since  $A^2$  is a polynomial given by  $A(q(A))^2$ , where  $\lambda$   $(1-\lambda\,(q(\lambda)))$  is the minimal polynomial of A and  $AA^2=A(q(A))$ , it is natural to ask a more general question as to when A(p(A)) is nonnegative, where p(X) is any polynomial. In this section we consider a special case p(X)=I-X and ask as to when  $A-A^2\geqslant 0$ . This result is of independent interest because it generalizes Flor's theorem on nonnegative idempotents. We show that if A is an irreducible matrix with  $\rho(A)=1$ , then  $A-A^2\geqslant 0$  implies  $A=A^2$ . Clearly, in general, A need not be idempotent if  $A-A^2\geqslant 0$ . We are unable to give a complete characterization for reducible matrices. Theorem 7 gives a necessary condition for any nonnegative matrix A with  $A-A^2\geqslant 0$ . We close with an example that shows that the conditions obtained in Theorem 7 are not sufficient. The next known lemma is part of the folklore of irreducible matrices.

**Lemma 5.** If  $A \ge 0$  is irreducible.  $B \ge 0$ , then AB = 0 or BA = 0 implies that B = 0.

We first prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.** Let  $A \ge 0$  be an irreducible matrix such that  $\rho(A) = 1$ . Then  $A \ge A^2$  if and only if  $A = A^2$ .

**Proof.** Let B = I - A. Because  $\rho(A) = 1$  we know that B is an M-matrix.

Also, we know that because A is nonnegative,  $1 \in \operatorname{spec}(A)$  and therefore,  $0 \in \operatorname{spec}(B)$ . This implies that B is singular. Clearly, A irreducible implies that B is irreducible. So, by [2, Theorem 4.16, p. 156], B is almost monotone, i.e.,  $Bx \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow Bx = 0$ .

Let  $X^{(i)}$  denote the *i*th column of the matrix X. Set  $x = A \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = A^{(1)}$ .

Then.

$$Bx = (I - A)A \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = (A - A^2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = (A - A^2)^{(1)} \ge 0$$

by hypothesis. But then, we must have that  $(A - A^2)^{(1)} = 0$ . Similarly, we can show that  $(A - A^2)^{(i)} = 0$ , for all i. Thus, we have just shown that  $A - A^2 = 0 \Rightarrow A = A^2$ .

The converse is clear.

We now prove our main result, giving a necessary condition for nonnegative matrices A with  $A - A^2 \ge 0$ .

**Theorem 7.** Let  $A \ge 0$  with  $\rho(A) = 1$ . If  $A - A^2 \ge 0$  then there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$PAP^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ A_{r1} & A_{r2} & \cdots & A_{rr} \end{bmatrix}.$$

where each  $A_{ii}$  is irreducible or a  $1 \times 1$  zero matrix. If for all i,  $A_{ii} \neq 0$ , then there exists an i such that  $A_{ii} = A_{ii}^2$  and  $A_{ij} = 0$  for j < i,  $A_{ki} = 0$  for  $r \geqslant k > i$ . The latter statement holds for each  $A_{ii}$  with  $A_{ii} = A_{ii}^2$ .

**Proof.** By the Frobenius normal form there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$PAP^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ A_{r1} & A_{r2} & \cdots & A_{rr} \end{bmatrix}.$$

where each  $A_{ii}$  is irreducible or a  $1 \times 1$  zero matrix. If there exists a k such that  $A_{kk} = 0$ , then we are done, so suppose that for each i,  $A_{ii} \neq 0$ ,

We proceed by induction on r. For r = 2, let  $PAP^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ . Then since  $\rho(A) = 1$ , either  $A_{11}$  or  $A_{22}$  has the same spectral radius.

Now, we know that  $A - A^2 \ge 0$  and so

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \geqslant 0.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^2 & 0 \\ A_{21}A_{11} + A_{22}A_{21} & A_{22}^2 \end{bmatrix} \geqslant 0.$$

which in turn yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} - A_{11}^2 & 0 \\ A_{21} - A_{21}A_{11} - A_{22}A_{21} & A_{22} - A_{22}^2 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0.$$

So, finally we have that

$$A_{ii} \geqslant A_{ii}^2$$
 and  $A_{21} - A_{21}A_{11} + A_{22}A_{21} \geqslant 0$ .

Now, by Lemma 6, either  $A_{11}$  or  $A_{22}$  is idempotent.

Without loss of generality, suppose that  $A_{11}$  is idempotent. Consider the inequality

$$A_{21} - A_{21}A_{11} - A_{22}A_{21} \ge 0$$

We then multiply this inequality by  $A_{11}$  on the right to obtain

$$A_{21}A_{11} - A_{21}A_{11}^2 - A_{22}A_{21}A_{11} \geqslant 0.$$

Then, because  $A_{11}$  is idempotent, we have

$$A_{21}A_{11} - A_{21}A_{11} - A_{22}A_{21}A_{11} \ge 0$$

which implies that

$$-A_{22}A_{21}A_{11} \geqslant 0.$$

And so,  $A_{22}A_{21}A_{11} = 0$  because all the matrices are nonnegative.

But, we know that  $A_{22}$  and  $A_{11}$  are irreducible. So using Lemma 5, we conclude that  $A_{21} = 0$ .

If  $A_{22}$  is idempotent, we would proceed as above and get the same conclusion, proving the result for r = 2.

Now suppose that the result holds for all positive integers < r. We will show that it is true for r.

Assume

$$PAP^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ A_{r1} & A_{r2} & \cdots & A_{rr} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $A - A^2 \ge 0$  yields that  $A_{ii} \ge A_{ii}^2$  for all r. Also, at least one of the  $A_{ii}$ 's has a spectral radius equal to 1. Therefore, by Lemma 6 we have some i such that  $A_{ii} = A_{ii}^2$ . If  $i \ne r$ , then consider the matrix

S.K. Jain, J. Tynan / Linear Algebra and its Applications 379 (2004) 381-394

303

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ A_{r-1,1} & A_{r-1,2} & \cdots & A_{r-1,r-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have that  $A_{ij} = 0$  for j < i,  $A_{ki} = 0$  for  $r - 1 \ge k > i$ .

We need only show that  $A_{ri} = 0$ . As before,  $A - A^2 \ge 0$  yields that

$$A_{ri} - (A_{ri}A_{ii} + A_{r,i+1}A_{i+1,i} + \cdots + A_{rr}A_{ri}) \geqslant 0.$$

So now, using  $A_{ij} = 0$  for j < i,  $A_{ki} = 0$  for  $r - 1 \ge k > i$ , we obtain

$$A_{ri} - A_{ri}A_{ii} - A_{rr}A_{ri} \geqslant 0.$$

Multiply on the right by  $A_{ij}$  to obtain  $A_{ij} = A_{ij} + A_$ 

$$A_{ri}A_{ii} - A_{ri}A_{ii}A_{ii} - A_{rr}A_{ri}A_{ii} \geqslant 0$$
,

which implies that

$$A_{ri}A_{ii} - A_{ri}A_{ii}^2 - A_{rr}A_{ri}A_{ii} \geqslant 0.$$

This yields  $-A_{rr}A_{ri}A_{ii} \ge 0$ .

Thus  $A_{rr}A_{ri}A_{ii} = 0$ . Also, we know that  $A_{rr}$  and  $A_{ii}$  are irreducible, and so  $A_{ri} = 0$ .

If r = i, we use

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} A_{22} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_{32} & A_{33} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ A_{r2} & A_{r3} & \cdots & A_{rr} \end{bmatrix}$$

and similarly show that  $A_{r1} = 0$ .  $\square$ 

The following example illustrates the importance of all of the matrices on the diagonal of the Frobenius normal form being nonzero.

**Example 8.** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Clearly,  $A = A^2$  and  $\rho(A) = 1$ . For this matrix, we have that  $A_{22}^2 = A_{22}$ . yet  $A_{21} = 2 > 0$ .

We now provide an example showing that the condition obtained in the above theorem is not sufficient.

394 S.K. Jain, J. Tynan / Linear Algebra and its Applications 379 (2004) 381–394

# Example 9. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ \frac{1}{10} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ \frac{1}{10} & 0 & \frac{1}{10} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly, the spectral radius is one. Also, the irreducible blocks on the diagonal are  $\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$ ,  $\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$ , and  $\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$ . The only idempotent block is  $\left[1\right]$ , and it satisfies the conclusion of the theorem that all entries below and to the left of this block are zero. However.

$$A - A^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0\\ -\frac{1}{100} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

is not nonnegative.

## Acknowledgement

We express our sincere thanks to the referee, whose helpful remarks have led to a better presentation of our material.

## References

- A. Ben-Israel, T.N.E. Greville, Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1974.
- [2] A. Berrin, R.J. Plemmons, Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences, SIAM, 1994.
- [3] A. Bert, in, R.J. Plemmons, Monotonic by and the generalized inverse, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 22 (1972), 55-161.
- [4] A. Berman, R.J. Plemmons, Matrix group monotonicity, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 46 (1974) 355–359.
- [5] P. Flor. On groups of nonneaive marrices, Compositio. Math. 21 (1969) 376–382.
- [6] E. Haynsworth, R.J. Wall, Group inverses of certain nonnegative matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 25 (1979) 271–288.
- [7] S.K. Jain. Linear systems having nonnegative best approximate solutions—a survey, in: Algebra and its Applications, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 91, Dekker, 1984, pp. 99–132.
- [8] S.K. Jain, V.K. Goel, Nonnegative matrices having nonnegative Drazin pseudoinverses, Linear Algebra Appl. 29 (1980) 173–183.
- [9] S.K. Jain, E.K. Kwak, V.K. Goel, Decomposition of nonnegative group-monotone matrices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 257 (2) (1980) 371–385.
- [10] S.K. Jain, J. Tynan, Nonnegative rank factorization of nonnegative matrices A with either  $A^{\dagger}A \ge 0$  or  $AA^{\dagger} \ge 0$ . Linear Multilinear Algebra 51 (2003) 83–95.