MATH3200: APPLIED LINEAR ALGEBRA SELF-STUDY AND PRACTICE MODULE 71: APPLICATIONS OF LEFT EIGENVECTORS TO MARKOV CHAINS

WINFRIED JUST, OHIO UNIVERSITY

This module is based on Lectures 36, 38, Conversations 35, 36, and Modules 67B, 68. The module also uses MATLAB. Start a MATLAB session now.

For easier reference, let us again briefly review some basic facts about Markov chains.

A Markov chain is a *stochastic process* where time proceeds in discrete steps t = 0, 1, 2, ...

At each time t the process can be in exactly one of several states that are numbered $1, \ldots, n$. The probability of being in a given state at time t + 1 depends only on the state at time t.

A matrix $\mathbf{P} = [p_{ij}]_{n \times n}$ gives the transition probabilities p_{ij} from state *i* at time *t* to state *j* at time t + 1.

When $\vec{\mathbf{x}}(t) = [x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)]$ is the probability distribution for the states at time t, then the probability distribution $\vec{\mathbf{x}}(t+1)$ at time t+1 is given by

(1)
$$\vec{\mathbf{x}}(t+1) = \vec{\mathbf{x}}(t)\mathbf{P} = [x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)]\mathbf{P}.$$

The matrix **P** is a *stochastic matrix*, which means that each of its rows adds up to 1. There always exists a left eigenvector $\vec{\mathbf{x}}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$ of **P** that is a probability distribution. Such a vector is called a *stationary distribution* of the Markov chain. It has the property that if $\vec{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \vec{\mathbf{x}}$ at some time t, then $\vec{\mathbf{x}}(t+1) = \vec{\mathbf{x}}(t)$.

In our example weather.com light we assumed that state 1 means "sunny day" and state 2 means

"rainy day." The matrix of transition probabilities then takes the form $\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ where:

- p_{11} is the probability that a sunny day is followed by another sunny day.
- p_{12} is the probability that a sunny day is followed by a rainy day.
- p_{21} is the probability that a rainy day is followed by a sunny day.
- p_{22} is the probability that a rainy day is followed by another rainy day.

Probability distributions at time t are then row vectors $\vec{\mathbf{x}}(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t)]$, where

- $x_1(t)$ is the probability that day t will be a sunny day.
- $x_2(t)$ is the probability that day t will be a rainy day.

Now consider weather.com light with $\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.6 \\ 0.3 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix}$

We found in Conversation 36 that the probability distribution $\vec{\mathbf{x}} = [1/3, 2/3]$ is a left eigenvector with eigenvalue 1 of **P**. We can verify this with MATLAB:

>> P = [0.4, 0.6; 0.3, 0.7] >> [1/3, 2/3]*P

The output will be the same vector $\vec{\mathbf{x}}$, shown with an accuracy of four decimal places.

For the remainder of this module, it will be useful to see more decimal places of MATLAB. So let's switch to a different format and then look at the output of the last command again:

>> format long

>> ans

As we learned in Module 68, we can find the transposes of a full set of left eigenvectors of \mathbf{P} by entering:

>> [vec, val] = eig(P')

This will show us an eigenvector of \mathbf{P}^T with eigenvalue 1 that is scaled version of the transpose of the vector $\vec{\mathbf{x}} = [1/3, 2/3]$, that is, of the stationary probability distribution that we just explored. Moreover, it will show us that \mathbf{P}^T also an eigenvector with eigenvalue $\lambda_2 = 0.1$. The transpose of such an eigenvector will be a left eigenvector of \mathbf{P} . After rescaling it we find thu $\vec{\mathbf{y}} = [-1, 1]$ will be a left eigenvector of \mathbf{P} with eigenvalue 0.1. But since its coordinates are of opposite signs, we cannot rescale $\vec{\mathbf{y}}$ to a probability distribution. It plays a quite interesting role in the behavior of a Markov chain, but exploring this role goes beyond the scope of this course. One can deduce from the above information about the left eigenvectors of \mathbf{P} that $\vec{\mathbf{x}} = [1/3, 2/3]$ is the only stationary probability distribution of the corresponding Markov Chain. Let us explore numerically in MATLAB what happens if we start with a vector $\vec{\mathbf{x}}(0) \neq [1/3, 2/3]$. Let's start with a rainy day $\vec{\mathbf{x}}(0) \neq [0, 1]$:

- >> [0,1]*P
- >> ans*P
- >> ans*P

Repeat a few more times to watch what happens, and then do the same for a sunny day as your starting point:

- >> [1,0]*P
- >> ans*P >> ans*P

And so on. What you will see is sequences of the probability distributions for consecutive days,

 $[x_1(0), x_2(0)], [x_1(1), x_2(1)], [x_1(2), x_2(2)], \dots, [x_1(t), x_2(t)], \dots$

These vectors get closer and closer to the stationary distribution $\vec{\mathbf{x}} = [1/3, 2/3]$ and eventually you will no longer see any change in their values at the accuracy that is shown in the output.

Question 71.1: How many time steps does it take until you see a result that does no longer change on the screen? Be sure to use >> format long for this question.

These observations illustrate the following general theorem:

Theorem 1. Let **P** be the transition probability matrix of a Markov chain with n states and let $\vec{\mathbf{x}} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be a stationary distribution for this Markov chain. Assume that the eigenvalue $\lambda^* = 1$ of **P** has multiplicity 1 and all other eigenvalues λ of **P** have absolute value $|\lambda| < 1$. Then if $\vec{\mathbf{x}}(0)$ is any initial distribution, the distributions $\vec{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ always approach $\vec{\mathbf{x}}$ as $t \to \infty$.

The assumption that the eigenvalue $\lambda^* = 1$ of **P** has multiplicity 1 and all other eigenvalues λ of **P** have absolute value $|\lambda| < 1$ of Theorem 1 may look a little puzzling. Let us see why it is needed. Let us explore a Markov chain for weather.com light with transition probability matrix

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Question 71.2: Use MATLAB to find:

- (a) All eigenvalues of **P**.
- (b) All stationary distributions of the Markov chain that is defined by **P**.

In answering Question 71.2 you will find that the assumptions of Theorem 1 are not satisfied for this Markov chain. Now explore what happens here when we start with a sunny day:

>> P = [0, 1; 1, 0]
>> [1,0]*P
>> ans*P
>> ans*P
And so on. What do you observe?

Question 71.3: Is it still true that the predictions $\vec{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ for subsequent days approach a stationary distribution $\vec{\mathbf{x}}$?

Question 71.4: Based on your explorations, how would you estimate the proportions of rainy days and sunny days in a long sequence of days? How are these estimates related to (the) stationary distribution(s) of the corresponding Markov chain?